

Release
Date: April 21, 1999
Release #: S.C. 16/99

SUMMARY OF CASES ACCEPTED DURING THE WEEK OF APRIL 12, 1999

[This news release is issued to inform the public and the press of cases that the Supreme Court has accepted and of their general subject matter. The description or descriptions set out below do not necessarily reflect the view of the court, or define the specific issues that will be addressed by the court.]

#99-48 Bramberg v. Jones, S076784. Original proceeding. This case concerns the constitutional validity of Proposition 225, pertaining to the adoption of congressional term limits.

#99-49 People v. Longacre, S077214. (C027250.) Unpublished opinion. Petition for review after the Court of Appeal modified and affirmed a judgment of conviction of a criminal offense. This case presents an issue, concerning whether the actual thief may be convicted of receiving stolen property when the statute of limitations for theft has not run, which is related to an issue before the court in People v. Allen, S070028. (See #98-68.)

#99-50 Oakland Raiders v. National Football League, S077220. (H018270; 69 Cal.App.4th 697.) This case presents an issue, concerning whether an arbitrator can have the power to issue an injunction, which is related to an issue before the court in Broughton v. Cigna Health Plans, S072583. (See #98-136.)

#99-51 People v. Renko, S053739. (D023059.) Unpublished opinion. Petition for review after the Court of Appeal affirmed in part and reversed in part a

(over)

judgment following conviction of criminal offenses. This case presents an issue, concerning whether a juvenile adjudication qualifies as a “strike” if it is for conduct which is defined as a serious felony but which is not listed under Welfare and Institutions Code section 707(b), which is related to an issue before the court in People v. Garcia, S059302. (See #98-79.)

#99-52 People v. Turner, S076776. (D028921; 69 Cal.App.4th 85.)
Petition for review after the Court of Appeal affirmed a judgment of conviction of criminal offenses. This case presents an issue, concerning whether the State Bar Client Security Fund is authorized to receive restitution under Penal Code section 1203.4 when it has compensated victims of a crime, which is related to an issue before the court in People v. Birkett, S062379. (See #97-135.)

#99-53 People v. Williams, S076262. (C025458; 68 Cal.App.4th 519.)
Petition for review after the Court of Appeal reversed a judgment of conviction of a criminal offense. This case concerns whether the 1996 revision of CALJIC 9.00 correctly defines the mens rea of assault.

#